## Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2014 - June 2015)

	Name of the Employer: Arun kurar.				
	Degree: M⋅€				
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.				
	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
1.	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	<b>O</b> Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.				
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?				
	OStrongly Agree	. /	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	<b>O</b> Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
6.	Are the prescribed books relevant?				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
	Were the Labs better equipped?				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
8.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?				
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
9.	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?				
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
					Low Junas.

Signature of the Employer

PROFESSOR AND HEAD DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ACMA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025