

## CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

## COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

## Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

#### (July 2014 - June 2015)

Name of the Student: F. Syee Nandhin'  Degree: B. E [Agricultural & Irrigation Engineering]  The source objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.									
Degree: B. E. Agricultural ~ 2 1									
,	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.  ODisagree OStronglyDisagree								
		04	(Neutral	ODisagree					
	Classing was wel	organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to material				ications			
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	<b>Obisagree</b>	OstronglyDisagree				
		and based En	nphasis was on fundamentals as		well as				
2.	The syllabus was nonmodem/advanced OStrongly Agree	dtopics.  OAgree	ØNeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
	Was there a balance	()Agree	Olycultar		OStronglyDisagree				
4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning									
	OStrongly Agree OA	OAgree	ONeutral	Obisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
5.	The overall enviro OStrongly Agree	nment in the c	lass was conduc ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
6.	Are the prescribed OStrongly Agree	books relevar OAgree	nt? ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
7.	Were the Labs bett OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
	200	OAgree	l enhancement a	nd better career	better career opportunities? ODisagree OStronglyDisagree				
8.	Ostrongly Agree		ONeutrai	<b>5</b>					
	Were the assessme	ents conducted	on time with p	roper coverage o	f syllabus?				
9.	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	<b>O</b> Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
	Ostroligiy Agree			4					

F. Sree North



### CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

## COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

#### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

#### (July 2014 - June 2015)

Name of the Student: ARUNAAS								
	DEONE: B.E. AGRICULTURAL & PRIGATION ENOUTH							
	abjectives were clear and reflected in the symbols.							
		0-	Oxlantral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Ostrongly Agree	Origina		-antont flow	o, access to materials, notifications  Ostropoly Disagree			
1	The course was well	,						
	Octoraly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	Ostrongo			
	The syllabus was ne	ad based Em	ophasis was on	fundamentals as	well as			
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OstronglyDisagree			
3.	Was there a balance	between the	ory and practica ONeutral	l? ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	OStrongly Agree OAgree ONcount.  4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning OstronglyDisagree OStronglyDisagree							
4.	Is the course well-st resources,tutorials, OStrongly Agree	tructured to a practicalete)?  OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
٦.					Obina 27			
5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.  OAgree Oriental ODisagree OStronglyDisagree								
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree		ODisagree	Ostrongry			
6	Are the prescribed b	ooks relevan OAgree	t? Orientral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs bette OStrongly Agree	r equipped?	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
		OAgree	ONeutrai		apportunities?			
	Did the course contr				OstronglyDisagree			
	Ognong.,	ducted	on time with pre	oper coverage of	f syllabus?			
9.	OStrongly Agree Were the assessment OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral					
					Agunaa Student			
				1	Church Student			
					Signature of the Student			

# **1**

#### CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

#### COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

#### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2014 - June 2015)

Name of the Student: Sangeetha. S.  Degree: B. E lagricultural & Iringation Engineering)  The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.								
	Degree: S. E A	gnultur	al & 10)	igation F	ig (Viscon ())			
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1.	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications							
	of changes etc) OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
•	The syllabus was ne	ad based Em	nhasis was on	fundamentals as	well as			
2.	OStrongly Agree	Itopics.  OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
		Latrugen the	ory and practica	al?				
	Was there a balance OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODIsagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Is the course well-st	and to a	chieve the learn	ing outcomes (U	Jsage of learning			
4.	Is the course well-stresources, tutorials, I OStrongly Agree	practicaletc)?  OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	The overall environ	t in the c	lass was conduc	ive to learning.				
5.	The overall environing OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
		lea rolevan	t?					
6.	Are the prescribed b OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Were the Labs bette OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
the second state of the second								
	Ostrongly Agree OAgree	ONcultar	7					
	Lested on time with proper coverage of syllabus?							
9.	Were the assessment OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OstronglyDisagree			
					(1			

Signature of the Student