

### INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING

# COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

#### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

(Un)

	Name of the Stude	nt: GIRI	SAKTHIO!	P				
	Degree: 8-E	Gieo In	formatics	C 5th SEM)				
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1.	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)							
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?							
	OStrongly Agree	ØÅgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?							
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	The overall environ	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
	Strongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Are the prescribed books relevant?							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	<b>Ø</b> Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Were the Labs better equipped?							
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>Agree</b>	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree			



### INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING

# COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025



# Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

	Name of the Studen	t: A. K. Li	Jilan					
	Degree: 8-€-, 6	ijeo Infor	matics (Y-	sem)				
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
E	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes etc)							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?							
	<b>O</b> Strongly Agree	9.00	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicaletc)?							
	OStrongly Agree	and the facility of the same of the	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.							
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
6.	Are the prescribed books relevant?							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs better equipped?							
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
8.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
9.	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			



# INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING



### **COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025**

#### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

	Name of the Stude	nt: V. Ajau	y				
	Name of the Stude	geo Ing	ormatics (V	)			
	The course objective	es were clea	r and reflected i	n the syllabus.			
	OStrongly Agree	0Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
L.	The course was we of changes etc)	II organized	(e.g. teaching h	ours, content flo	w, access to materials, notifications		
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.						
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
1.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?						
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
	OStrongly Agree	-	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
).	Are the prescribed books relevant?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	<b>O</b> Neutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Were the Labs better equipped?						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?						
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree		