

### CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

# COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

	Name of the Student: Siva Ranjani								
	Degree: M.E. IWRM (2014 - 2015)								
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.								
	OStrongly Agree	*OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
1	<ol> <li>The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes etc)</li> </ol>								
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
2	<ol> <li>The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.</li> </ol>								
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
3.	Was there a balance	e between the	eory and practic	cal?					
	Ostrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
4.	4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicaletc)?								
,	OStrongly Agree	<b>Ø</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
5.	The overall enviror	nment in the c	lass was condu	cive to learning.					
	ØStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
6.	Are the prescribed l	books relevar	nt?						
	OStrongly Agree	QA gree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?							
	Ostrongly Agree	• Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
8.	8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?								
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?									
,		OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				

Signature of the Student



## CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

# COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

	Name of the Studer	nt: ARIV	04.E					
	Degree: ME. IWRM (2014 - 2015)							
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1.	. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes etc.)							
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?								
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
<b>4.</b>	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?							
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
5.	Are the prescribed books relevant?							
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs bette	r equipped?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?							
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
).	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			

Signature of the Student



#### **CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES**

# COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

	Name of the Student	: Kuzhal	î.					
	Name of the Student Degree: ME IWE	4 ( 2015	2015)					
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.							
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
1.	The course was well of changes etc)	organized (e.	.g. teaching hou	rs, content flow,	, access to materials, notifications			
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.							
•	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
3.	Was there a balance		•		OStuan alty Disagree			
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicaletc)?							
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
5.	The overall environ							
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
6.	Are the prescribed b	ooks relevant	t?					
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
7.	Were the Labs bette		_					
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
8.	Did the course contr	ribute to skill	_	_				
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			
9.	Were the assessmen		<u></u>	_				
	OStrongly Agree	<b>Agree</b>	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree			

Signature of the Student