INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 – June 2019)

Email Id: divyacktl96@gmail.com

Roll No: 2018186030

Name of the Student: S. DIVYA

Degree: M.E Remote Sensing and Geomatics

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

ⓍStrongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

   OStrongly Agree   ⊘Agree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.

   ⊘Strongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

   ⊘Strongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources,tutorials, practicaletc)?

   OStrongly Agree   ⊘Agree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

   ⊘Strongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

   ⊘Strongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

   ⊗Strongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

   OStrongly Agree   ⊘Agree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

   ⊘Strongly Agree   OAgree   ONeutral   ODisagree   OStronglyDisagree

PK

INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 – June 2019)

anuregai4@gmail.com

Name of the Student: S. Anurega 2018(85003)

Degree: Remote sensing and Geomatics

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.

   ○ Strongly Agree　　○ Agree　　☑ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

   ○ Strongly Agree　　○ Agree　　☑ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources,tutorials, practicaletc)?

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

   ○ Strongly Agree　　☑ Agree　　○ Neutral　　○ Disagree　　○ StronglyDisagree

PG

INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 – June 2019)

Name of the Student: T. DHIVAHAR.

Degree: M.E. REMOTE SENSING & GEOMATICS.

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

O**Strongly Agree**   O Agree   O Neutral   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

   O Strongly Agree   O Agree   O **Neutral**   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.

   O Strongly Agree   O Agree   O Neutral   O **Disagree**   O StronglyDisagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

   O Strongly Agree   O **Agree**   O Neutral   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources,tutorials, practicaletc)?

   O Strongly Agree   O **Agree**   O Neutral   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

   O **Strongly Agree**   O Agree   O Neutral   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

   O **Strongly Agree**   O Agree   O Neutral   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

   O Strongly Agree   O Agree   O Neutral   O Disagree   O **StronglyDisagree**

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

   O Strongly Agree   O Agree   O **Neutral**   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

   O **Strongly Agree**   O Agree   O Neutral   O Disagree   O StronglyDisagree

Signature of the student