NAME: A. AARTH (SIGNATURE: OLLEGE OF ENGINEERING CHINDS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING CHINDS ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/		,	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	<u></u>				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	<u> </u>				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to	<u> </u>				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc)					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					HÓD NDRAMOHAN or and Head d Cleatronies Englace in

Aditya Sengupta.

SIGNATURE:



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY

ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

		STODEN	I'S FEEDB			1.0
SI		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			1		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	1				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		•			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<u> </u>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		Gene	<u> </u>		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to	/				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc)					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		/		CHANDR	10D

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine ing Anna University, Chennai - 600

NAME: Aravind Sunder.

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

		STODEN	S PERDO	1		C
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		1			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		į.			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	1				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		1			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.			,		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			<i>\$</i>
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		\			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			./		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				HÓ S CHANDRAM	D OHAN

Dr. S CHANDRAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Deptiof Electrical and Electronics Engine

Anna University Chemical (600)

NAME: ARWINDH V SIGNATURE: V-AMORA

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		1	A	•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	·		Λ		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		\mathcal{N}			1
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		S			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		\sim			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		$\sqrt{}$			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	1	\nearrow			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		\sim	,	S	
).	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		$\sqrt{}$	Dept. of E	S CHANDRAM Professor and Heritage and Electronical and Electronical Links (Mennai)	OHAN ad cs English ling

NAME: B-Archana

SIGNATURE:

SN	Indicators	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree	Disagree	Strongly
SIN	Indicators	Agree	1.8	or nor disagree		disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<u></u>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				Dr. S CHAND	

NAME: AN'+ 4

SIGNATURE: DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators			γ		
J.	malcators	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree	Disagree	Strongly
4		Agree		or nor		disagree
				disagree		aroug co
1.	The course objectives were			disagree		
-	clear and reflected in a				•	
	syllabus, topics intend to				-	
	cover					
2.	The Course was well					
	organized (e.g. teaching					
	hours, content flow, access					
	to materials, notification of					
1	changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need					
	based; Emphasis on				9	
	fundamentals, coverage of					
	modern/advanced topics,					
	good balance between theory					e .
	and application					
4.	Course was well structured					
	to achieve the learning					
	outcomes (good balance of	1				
	lectures, learning resources,			(g)		
	tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson					
	plans, course notes, reading					_
	materials etc.) were relevant					
	and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in					
	the class was conducive to					
	learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed					e e
	as reference materials are				11	* 4
	relevant, updated and				ø	
	appropriate. Labs are better			4		40 ·
	equipped				-	
8.	Expectations about the					
٠.	course (Enhance by				-	
	skill/knowledge base, better			V	*, ' * ' ' ,	• .
	career opportunities etc)	•	<u>"</u>			
	were achievable	, e		ar :		
9.	Tests and examinations were	-				
7.	conducted well in time with				• •	
	proper coverage of all units	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	in the syllabus					

NAME: A-Ashwin Komas

SIGNATURE: Agshrin kumar.

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	·				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		1			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: S.G.Arwita SIGNATURE: Asufe

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			uisagree	,	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					,
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		V		6	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Bala Murali Krishox

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		~			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		V			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			1		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					,
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	_	/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Bharathi kannons ATURE: Houstly

SIGNATURE:

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY

ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			uisagree	• .	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			V		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	-				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	*				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: M. Bhuwaneshwaran

SIGNATURE: M. Bhumhun

	STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2010 17								
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree			
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				1				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	·		\nearrow					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		1						
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)								
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	✓							
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓		-				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		\frac{1}{2}						
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			√					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		1						

NAME: M.Bhuvanesucci ATURE: M.Bhf.

SIGNATURE:

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	~				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	·				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		\sim			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		Л			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	\sim				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	$ \wedge $,		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		Л			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		Λ			

NAME: Chasanvingak, a

SIGNATURE:

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		1			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	/				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					/
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	,		in e		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Cheviveddy Nithya SIGNATURE: Conlithya

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA LINIVERSITY CHENNAL

ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		~		•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		^			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		<u></u>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Dova Arandhan

SIGNATURE:

SN		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			/		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				, .	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		1.0			

NAME: DHAMADARAM AP

SN	Indicators	Strongly	Agnos			
		Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/		disagree		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					1
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				*	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<u> </u>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: M. bhos an street SIGNATURE: & post post of

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/		,	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			,
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		V			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		V			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		V			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		V			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
).	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		V			

NAME: Dhinesh chandelan

SIGNATURE: The

SI	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<u> </u>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	<u></u>				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			/		

SIGNATURE: 3 Elong

S	SN Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		V			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					,
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		3/			

NAME: K. Ezhi / Rone SIGNATURE: K. Ezhi / Rone DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1:	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	\sim				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		7			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	1				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		1			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	1				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		1			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		1			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: B. MOKU

SIGNATURE: Byow

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	\sim				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		\mathcal{N}			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		\			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					ι
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		\sim			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	d'es	\sim			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		\sim			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		\sim		7	.03

NAME: 8 Gokulapning

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		5		,	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		1			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		\$			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		1			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: GIOHATHI SANKAR. R

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		1			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		1			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Hauharaturi T SIGNATURE: June

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY

ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

S	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	1				
2.	organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			\mathcal{A}		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					1
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<i>-</i>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		M			
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	\wedge				
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		1			

NAME: Homanath. ? SIGNATURE: After

S	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		1			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		J			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		/			
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		1			
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		/			

NAME: Jananê, woli R SIGNATURE: Janane, war R

						Cananala
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	1				
	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
r n a	Learning materials (lesson blans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	\sim				
th	The overall environment in the class was conducive to earning.		1			
as re ap eq	he books prescribed/listed s reference materials are levant, updated and ppropriate. Labs are better juipped	\nearrow				
co ski cai we	rpectations about the urse (Enhance by ill/knowledge base, better reer opportunities etc) are achievable	1	/			12
cor	sts and examinations were inducted well in time with oper coverage of all units the syllabus	1				

NAME: JEYARAM

SIGNATURE: (

C				,		
SN		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					-
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
a a	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: S. Kalpana

SIGNATURE:

s. Kary

		01022111	STEED	1		Ci I .
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		1			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			V		
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				^	E.
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		\rightarrow \tag{\tau}			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		~			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			~		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	-				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			/		

NAME: R. Karthicz

SIGNATURE:

R. lauthy

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		V		•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		V			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		,			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		1			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	\mathcal{S}			0	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		7			

NAME: S. Kathil

SIGNATURE: S. Kathir.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

201810 4031.

Si	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					✓ <u> </u>
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					V
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					V.
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					V
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		y			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: P. KAVIYARAS) SIGNATURE: P. KAVIYARAS) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING. GUINDA ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

		~~~~	STEEDS:	``	·	
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			,		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: LAKSHIMI NARLAGIRIAN

SIGNATURE:



SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	/				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					-
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	8.				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: K. Logesh SIGNATURE: K. 2

SN		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		<b>V</b>			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		<b>/</b>			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		V			
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<b>/</b>			
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		W			

## NAME: Habalakshmaran Signature: Mahalakshmaran Signature: Mahalakshmaran College of Engineering, Guindy Anna University Chennal STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			<u></u>	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				

NAME: SIGNATURE:

K. Mahindistan

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

### COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			1	• .	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		1			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		$\wedge$			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		6			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		V			
3.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus			LV		

NAME: K. Moulethajar, SIGNATURE: JC. AJdh

SI	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		A	/		
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			/		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			A		
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: MINITO FAR

SIGNATURE: M. Nilota.

SN	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		).			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		$\wedge$			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					-
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				*	
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		,			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: NIRMALA R

### SIGNATURE: NANDHAKISHORE

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			disagree		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: M. Pavilina SIGNATURE: M. AFOTER

S	N Indicators	Strongiy Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		<u> </u>		•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		<u></u>			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		✓			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		<i>\</i>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		$\checkmark$			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		$\checkmark$			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		٧/			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Pornudurai. C

SIGNATURE:

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			-		
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: P. Pranchioner SIGNATURE: P. Pranchioner

SI	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	<u> </u>				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	× .	Ĵ			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<u> </u>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	$\sqrt{}$			,	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	$\checkmark$				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Prestra SIGNATURE: Pres

SN	I Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/			,	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		<b>/</b>		`	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		<b>V</b>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				÷ .,	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	7				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		$\mathcal{N}$			

NAME: RAGIOL V.S

SIGNATURE:

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree ,	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				-	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		./			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc)		*			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

SIGNATURE:

NAME: ST. SALINI

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

### COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

S	SN Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		V			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		~			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<b>√</b>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	-				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	~				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: R Damucal Ray SIGNATURE: Vant kg

SN	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		~			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		$\checkmark$			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		$\nearrow$			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		$\checkmark$			
- 1	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		J			
	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		$\checkmark$			
S	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		J			
c	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		<b>V</b>			

NAME: Sarath Bawal

SIGNATURE:

S	N	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.		The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.		The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.		The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	t	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	n a	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading naterials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	tl	The overall environment in he class was conducive to earning.					
7.	as re ap	The books prescribed/listed s reference materials are elevant, updated and oppropriate. Labs are better quipped					
8.	cc sk ca	xpectations about the burse (Enhance by ill/knowledge base, better reer opportunities etc) ere achievable					
9.	co	ests and examinations were nducted well in time with oper coverage of all units the syllabus					

NAME: K. Sathy a SIGNATURE: (1) 5.

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

	SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
	1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
	2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3		The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	t d l t	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of ectures, learning resources, utorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	p m	Learning materials (lesson lans, course notes, reading naterials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	th lea	he overall environment in e class was conducive to arning.		/			
7.	as rel app equ	reference materials are evant, updated and propriate. Labs are better uipped					
8.	skil care wer	pectations about the arse (Enhance by all/knowledge base, better beer opportunities etc) are achievable					
9.	con	ts and examinations were ducted well in time with per coverage of all units ne syllabus					

NAME: P. Senbagasormy SIGNATURE: B. S.

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	·			SC_*	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		~			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/	$\circ$		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: Shiva and SIGNATURE: Alvivagion

SN	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		_			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	<u> </u>				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: SHOBIYA

SIGNATURE:



SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: S. Sidhan Han SIGNATURE: Of A A

	Si	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
	1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover			1	•	ger a	
	2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		1				
	3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		1				
	4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		1				
	5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.						
	6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						*
	7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		1				
1		Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		$\wedge$				
9		Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus						T

NAME: Silambooasan

S	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	disagree	
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover						
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)						
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	/					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)						
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.						
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped						
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	1					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus						

SIGNATURE:

		STUDENT	10 1 1010			Character
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		V			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	•	<u> </u>			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	<u> </u>				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		/			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		<b>/</b>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	<i>"</i>				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		~			

NAME: Suellin Vasshan SIGNATURE: Suelling Perumo

		STUDEN	L'S PEEDD	)		Canada
SN	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)			./		Ja.
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					-
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
5	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
p	Fests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: J. Sujth free words SIGNATURE: Joshytthkow

		STODEN	S FEEDE		The second secon	Strangly
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	1				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		1			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		st.			
	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
r a e	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
c sl c: w	Expectations about the course (Enhance by kill/knowledge base, better areer opportunities etc) were achievable					
co	ests and examinations were onducted well in time with roper coverage of all units the syllabus					

NAME: M .suvithra SIGNATURE: மு.சூமர்

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		/			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		V			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		<u></u>			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		V			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	-	~			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		<b>/</b>	_		
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		V			

NAME: K. THIBAKAR

SIGNATURE: KChisa

S	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	7		uisagree		
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		7			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	1				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		()			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
).	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

NAME: YENKATESHWAR

SI	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	trongly isagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			<u> </u>	
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				

## SIGNATURE: DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY CHENNAL

ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

	SN Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		<b>/</b>	, and the second		= ,
2	organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		<b>/</b>			
3.	based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		V			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped		1			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable		~			
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus		<i></i>			

NAME: Vignoshwalan SIGNATURE:

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				* **	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	,				
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				Dr. S CHAND	HOD

Professor and Head Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine

NAME: Visay And R

SIGNATURE:

## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI

STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				•	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		_		-	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.		7			
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			$\cap$		
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					Å
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				Dr. S CHAND	HOD RAMOHAN

Professor and Head

Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine

Agea University Chennai - 600

NAME: Vasanth. N

SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		/			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					SU
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				Prof	HÓD IANDRAMOHA essor and Head

NAME: Sameor Ahmed

### SIGNATURE: DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

Si	N Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	/		изаднее	and the second section of the second section of the second	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		/			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	400			/	
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					1
1	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				Dr. S. CHAN	HOD DRAMOHAI

Professor and Head Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine ing

## NAME: A, VYG'E, G SIGNATURE: Alway DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY. STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

1.		Agree		or nor disagree		disagree
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover		V			
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)		. 9			
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application		V			
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)		/			
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		V			
7.	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				Dr. S.CHA	HOD NDRAMOHAN

NAME: Arthigay in i

### SIGNATURE: அர்த்திகா

### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI STUDENT'S FEEDBACK 2018-19

				Naithan agree	Disagree	Strongly
SN	Indicators	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither agree or nor disagree	Disagice	disagree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover	<b>/</b>				
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	<i></i>				
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					
	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					
	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					
	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	/			Dr. S CHAN	HOD

Professor and Head Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engine Anna University Chemist - 600