**DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING**
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2016-2018 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover	0%	0%	0%	66.66%	33.33%
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	0%	0%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	0%	0%	33.33%	0%	66.66%
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	66.66%
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	0%	0%	0%	66.66%	33.33%
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	0%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0%
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	0%	0%	33.33%	66.66%	0%
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	0%	0%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	66.66%

Head of the Department
Department of Industrial Engineering
College of Engineering Guindy
Anna University, Chennai-600025.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2016-2018 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			✓		
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.		✓			
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				✓	
Additional Inputs if any to be incorporated in the future Curriculum / Syllabus						

Name and Signature of the Student

(S . VASANTHRAJ)

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2016-2018 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					✓
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				✓	
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					✓
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Additional Inputs if any to be incorporated in the future Curriculum / Syllabus

Name and Signature of the Student

(PARTJAA SARATHI·E)

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2016-2018 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover					✓
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					✓
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.			✓		
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Additional Inputs if any to be incorporated in the future Curriculum / Syllabus

Name and Signature of the Student

Dhivakar . B