**DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING**
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2017-2019 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover	0%	0%	0%	66.66%	33.33%
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	100%
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	0%	0%	0%	66.66%	33.33%
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped	0%	0%	0%	33.33%	66.66%
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable	0%	0%	33.33%	66.66%	0%
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

Head of the Department
Department of Industrial Engineering
College of Engineering Guindy
Anna University, Chennai-600025.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2017-2019 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover					✓
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					✓
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable			✓		
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Additional Inputs if any to be incorporated in the future Curriculum / Syllabus

Curriculum was well organised & informative. But not sure about application in wider career scope. Direct opportunity to relative career is meagre & rare.

10/9/2019

(JOSEPH SOLOMON .J)

Name and Signature of the Student

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2017-2019 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Additional Inputs if any to be incorporated in the future Curriculum / Syllabus

S. JAISANKAR

Name and Signature of the Student

09/09/19

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, GUINDY
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI
STUDENTS' FEEDBACK for M.E INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING [R 2015]
[2017-2019 Batch]

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The Programme objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Programme was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4	Programme was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials for the courses are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped					✓
8	Expectations about the programme (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					✓

Additional Inputs if any to be incorporated in the future Curriculum / Syllabus

Name and Signature of the Student