DIVISION OFTRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2014 – June 2015)

Name of the Teacher: Dr. S. Lakshmi

Degree: M.E. Transportation Engg.

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

☑Strongly Agree ○Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials,notifications of changes etc)

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources,tutorials, practicaletc)?

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

   ○Strongly Agree ☑Agree ○Neutral ○Disagree ○StronglyDisagree

Signature of the Teacher

HOD (CIVIL)

PROFESSOR AND HEAD
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025